1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 KEVIN AMBROSINI, Case No. 1:24-cv-00425-JLT-CDB 12 Plaintiff, **SCHEDULING ORDER** (Fed. R. Civ. P. 16) 13 v. Rule 26 Disclosures: August 7, 2024 14 UNUM LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY Pleading Amendment: August 7, 2024 OF AMERICA, 15 Administrative Record Filing Deadline: July 11, Defendant. 2025 16 Discovery Motion Deadline: May 9, 2025 17 Cross-Motions for Judgment: 18 Filing: August 8, 2025 19 Response: August 29, 2025 20 Oral Argument: September 16, 2025 21 22 Plaintiff Kevin Ambrosini initiated this action with the filing a complaint on April 9, 2024. 23 Plaintiff raises a claim for disability benefits under an employee benefit plan regulated and governed 24 under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, 29 U.S.C. § 1101, et seq. ("ERISA"). 25 Plaintiff seeks benefits pursuant to an employee benefit plan sponsored by his employer, Penske Truck 26 Leasing Company, LP, which is insured/administered in relevant part by Defendant UNUM Life 27 Insurance Company of America ("UNUM").

### Case 1:24-cv-00425-JLT-CDB Document 13 Filed 07/10/24 Page 2 of 7

The parties convened via Zoom videoconference for a scheduling conference before Magistrate

1 Judge Christopher D. Baker on July 9, 2024. Christian Garris appeared on behalf of Plaintiff. Robert 2 Hess appeared on behalf of Defendant. During the conference, the parties expressed their anticipation 3 that this matter will be resolved by way of cross-motions for judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 52 and 4 renewed their request that the discovery process be streamlined and that neither a pretrial conference 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I. **Magistrate Judge Consent** 

Currently there is no joint consent to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction.

nor trial date be set, pending resolution of the anticipated dispositive motions.

### **Notice of Congested Docket and Court Policy of Trailing**

Due to the District Judges' heavy caseload, the adopted policy of the Fresno Division of the Eastern District is to trail all civil cases. The parties are hereby notified that for a trial date set before a District Judge, the parties will trail indefinitely behind any higher priority criminal or older civil case set on the same date until a courtroom becomes available. The trial date will not be reset.

The Magistrate Judges' availability is far more realistic and accommodating to parties than that of the District Judges who carry the heaviest caseloads in the nation and who must prioritize criminal and older civil cases over more recently filed civil cases. A Magistrate Judge may conduct trials, including entry of final judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 73, and Local Rule 305. Any appeal from a judgment entered by a Magistrate Judge is taken directly to the United States Court of Appeal for the Ninth Circuit.

Therefore, the parties are directed to consider consenting to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction to conduct all further proceedings, including trial, and to file a consent/decline form (provided by the Court at the inception of this case) indicating whether they will consent to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge.

#### II. **Pleading Amendment**

Any motions to amend the pleadings, including to add or substitute parties (including Doe defendants) and/or allegations and claims, must be filed by **August 7, 2024**. Filing a motion and/or stipulation requesting leave to amend the pleadings does not reflect on the propriety of the amendment or imply good cause to modify the existing schedule, if necessary. All proposed amendments must

### Case 1:24-cv-00425-JLT-CDB Document 13 Filed 07/10/24 Page 3 of 7

(A) be supported by good cause pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b) if the amendment requires any modification to the existing schedule, *see Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992), and (B) establish, under Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a), that such an amendment is not (1) prejudicial to the opposing party, (2) the product of undue delay, (3) proposed in bad faith, or (4) futile, *see Foman v. Davis*, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962).

### III. Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date

The parties shall exchange the initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) by no later than **August 7**, 2024. Defendant shall produce the administrative record and applicable plan documents by no later than **July 11**, 2025. All motions concerning discovery disputes or otherwise related to the administrative record shall be filed by no later than **May 9**, 2025.

### IV. Pre-Trial Motion Schedule / Informal Discovery Dispute Conferences

The parties shall file their cross-motions for judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 52 by no later than **August 8, 2025**. The parties shall file their responsive/opposition briefs by no later than **August 29, 2025**.

No motion to amend or stipulation to amend the case schedule will be entertained unless it is filed at least three days before the first deadline the parties wish to extend. In scheduling such motions, absent consent to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction, counsel SHALL consult the assigned District Judge's general information and calendar accordingly and SHALL comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 and Local Rules 230 and 260.

No written discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of Judge Baker. A party with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good faith effort to resolve by agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, prior to making any filing, the requesting party promptly shall seek a conference with all involved parties and Judge Baker. To schedule this conference, the parties should contact the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, Susan Hall, at (661) 326-6620 or via email at <a href="mailto:SHall@caed.uscourts.gov">SHall@caed.uscourts.gov</a>. At least two days before the conference, counsel shall file a joint, informal letter brief detailing each party's position. Each party's narrative shall not exceed five pages, excluding exhibits, and shall cite relevant authority in support of the party's position. At the commencement of the conference, if the parties jointly agree to Judge

V.

Baker's consideration and resolution of the discovery disputes outside the formal Local Rule 251 procedures, the Court will entertain arguments by the parties and issue a written ruling. If the parties do not jointly agree to the informal discovery dispute resolution procedures set forth herein, the requesting party may then seek relief through motion to compel. Counsel must comply with Local Rule 251 with respect to discovery disputes and certify their compliance in any discovery motion.

### V. <u>Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication</u>

At least 21 days before filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary adjudication, the parties SHALL meet, in person or by telephone, to confer about the issues to be raised in the motion.

The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the expense of briefing a motion; and 6) to develop a joint statement of undisputed facts.

The moving party SHALL initiate the meeting and SHALL provide a complete, proposed statement of undisputed facts **at least five days before** the conference. The finalized joint statement of undisputed facts SHALL include all facts that the parties agree, for purposes of the motion, may be deemed true. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file the joint statement of undisputed facts.

In the notice of motion, the moving party SHALL certify that the parties have met and conferred as ordered above, or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer. Failure to comply may result in the motion being stricken.

## VI. <u>Hearing Date</u>

The parties agree that a trial on this case should not occur. Instead, in lieu of a trial, the parties shall submit oral arguments in support of their cross-motions for judgment at a hearing currently set for **September 16**, **2025**, at 9:00 a.m. The hearing shall take place at the United States District Courthouse, 2500 Tulare Street, in Fresno, California, before District Judge Jennifer L. Thurston.

# VII. <u>Settlement Conference</u>

The parties SHALL meet and confer and notify the undersigned by stipulated filing by no later than **March 7**, **2025**, whether they wish to convene for a Settlement Conference. In the event the parties request a Settlement Conference, unless otherwise permitted in advance by the Court, the attorneys who will try the case shall appear at the settlement conference with the parties and the person or persons having full authority to negotiate and settle the case on any terms<sup>1</sup> at the conference.

Consideration of settlement is a serious matter that requires preparation prior to the settlement conference. Set forth below are the procedures the Court will employ, absent good cause, in conducting the conference.

At least 14 days before the settlement conference, Plaintiff SHALL submit to Defendant via fax or e-mail, a written itemization of damages and a meaningful<sup>2</sup> settlement demand which includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate. Thereafter, no later than seven days before the settlement conference, Defendant SHALL respond, via fax or e-mail, with an acceptance of the offer or with a meaningful counteroffer which includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate.

If settlement is not achieved, each party SHALL attach copies of their settlement offers to their Confidential Settlement Conference Statement, as described below. Copies of these documents shall not be filed on the court docket.

### CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

At least two court days prior to the settlement conference, the parties shall submit, directly to Judge Baker's chambers by e-mail to CDBOrders@caed.uscourts.gov, a Confidential Settlement

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Insurance carriers, business organizations, and governmental bodies or agencies whose settlement agreements are subject to approval by legislative bodies, executive committees, boards of directors or the like shall be represented by a person or persons who occupy high executive positions in the party organization and who will be directly involved in the process of approval of any settlement offers or agreements. To the extent possible, the representative shall have authority, if he or she deems it appropriate, to settle the action on terms consistent with the opposing party's most recent demand.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Meaningful" means the offer is reasonably calculated to settle the case on terms acceptable to the offering party. "Meaningful" does not include an offer which the offering party knows will not be acceptable to the other party. If, however, the offering party is only willing to offer a settlement which it knows the other party will not accept, this should trigger a recognition the case is not in a settlement posture and the parties should confer about continuing or vacating the settlement conference via stipulation.

# Case 1:24-cv-00425-JLT-CDB Document 13 Filed 07/10/24 Page 6 of 7

1	Conference Statement. The statement should not be filed with the Clerk of the Court nor served on
2	any other party, although the parties may file a Notice of Lodging of Settlement Conference
3	Statement. Each statement shall be clearly marked "confidential" with the date and time of the
4	settlement conference indicated prominently thereon.
5	The Confidential Settlement Conference Statement shall include the following:
6	A. A brief statement of the facts of the case.
7	B. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon
8	which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of prevailing on the
9	claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in dispute.
10	C. A summary of the proceedings to date.
11	D. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial and trial
12	E. The relief sought.
13	F. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a history
14	of past settlement discussions, offers and demands.
15	IX. Request for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or other Techniques to Shorten
16	<u>Trial</u>
17	None at this time.
18	X. Related Matters Pending
19	There are no pending related matters.
20	XI. Compliance with Federal Procedure
21	All counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
22	and the Local Rules of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any amendments
23	thereto. The Court requires compliance with these Rules to efficiently handle its increasing case load.
24	
25	Remainder of This Page Intentionally Left Blank
26	
27	

### Case 1:24-cv-00425-JLT-CDB Document 13 Filed 07/10/24 Page 7 of 7

### XII. Effect of this Order

The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most suitable to dispose of this case. If the parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by subsequent status conference.

The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause for granting the relief requested.

Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **July 10, 2024** 

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1 2